

**From:** Bowman, Randal  
**To:** [Downey Magallanes](#)  
**Subject:** public comment sorting criteria  
**Date:** Thursday, May 25, 2017 8:48:05 AM  
**Attachments:** [DRAFT CODES FOR SORTING PUBLIC COMMENTS.v1.docx](#)

---

Here is the more, through not fully, complete paper. I have not gotten to the actual codes, but that is the least important part of this; it is the word associations that will let us sort the comments.

**DRAFT ACTUAL CODES:**

(b) (5) DPP [Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

cultural, particularly Tribal, or historic sites not currently included in a monument or not adequately protected by Proclamation or regulations- .3

grazing – .4

energy or minerals - .5

whether monument exceeds "the smallest area compatible with the proper care and management of the objects to be protected" - .6

whether protected resources in monument are appropriately classified as "historic landmarks, historic and prehistoric structures, [or] other objects of historic or scientific interest - .7

**Significant “other” comments with new information**– reviewer to note comment number, subject(s) and report them at end of shift , code 6

## DRAFT SORTING OF PUBLIC COMMENTS

FIRST, to the maximum extent possible within the capabilities of the system, sort out and count

(b) (5) DPP  
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

(b) (5) DPP

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]